

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/264,065	03/08/1999	JOEL D. PESHKIN	20944.2200	2575
75	590 05/03/2002			
FARSHAD FARJAMI, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
FARJAMI & F. 16148 SAND C	CANYON		BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
IRVINE, CA	92018		ART UNIT PAPER NUMI	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

16

			106
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	r/
Advisory Action	09/264,065	PESHKIN ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M Burd	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is appliced to the same it is appliced to the same it is applicated an applicate it is applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated an applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated an applicated and applicated analysis and applicated an applicated and applicated analysis and applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated and applicated an applicated an applicated analysis and applicated an applicated and applicated analysis and applicated analysis and applicated an applicated and applicated an applicated and applicated analysis and applicated and applicated and applicated an applicated and applicated analysis and applicated analysis and applicated analysis and applicated analysis and applicated and applicated analysis and applicated and applicated and applicated and applicated and applicated analysis and applicated and applicated analysis and applic	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THITE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has added the limitations of defining the first and second logic channels according to the information type, which can be either a command type or data type. this information is received on each of the channels. These limitations were not recited previously and would require further consideration and/or search.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 S/1/6 2